

PRINJECTIVE MODULES, REFLECTION FUNCTORS, QUADRATIC FORMS, AND AUSLANDER-REITEN SEQUENCES

J. A. DE LA PEÑA AND D. SIMSON

ABSTRACT. Let A, B be artinian rings and let ${}_A M_B$ be an $(A - B)$ -bimodule which is a finitely generated left A -module and a finitely generated right B -module. A right ${}_A M_B$ -prinjective module is a finitely generated module $X_R = (X'_A, X''_B, \varphi: X'_A \otimes_A M_B \rightarrow X''_B)$ over the triangular matrix ring

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A & {}_A M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

such that X'_A is a projective A -module, X''_B is an injective B -module, and φ is a B -homomorphism.

We study the category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ of right ${}_A M_B$ -prinjective modules. It is an additive Krull-Schmidt subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$ closed under extensions. For every X, Y in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$, $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, Y) = 0$. When R is an Artin algebra, the category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ has Auslander-Reiten sequences and they can be computed in terms of reflection functors. In the case that R is an algebra over an algebraically closed field we give conditions for $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ to be representation-finite or representation-tame in terms of a Tits form. In some cases we calculate the coordinates of the Auslander-Reiten translation of a module using a Coxeter linear transformation.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let A, B be artinian rings and let ${}_A M_B$ be an $(A - B)$ -bimodule which is a finitely generated left A -module and a finitely generated right B -module. By a right ${}_A M_B$ -prinjective module we shall mean a finitely generated module

$$X_R = (X'_A, X''_B, \varphi: X'_A \otimes_A M_B \rightarrow X''_B)$$

over the triangular matrix ring

$$(0.1) \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} A & {}_A M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

such that X'_A is a projective A -module, X''_B is an injective B -module, and φ is a B -homomorphism.

The aim of this paper is to study the category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ of right ${}_A M_B$ -prinjective modules. It is an additive Krull-Schmidt subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$ closed under extensions. It has enough relative projective and relative injective modules and it is a hereditary subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$ in the sense that $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, Y) = 0$ for all X and Y in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$.

Received by the editors September 19, 1988 and, in revised form, November 28, 1989.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 16A35, 16A64, 18E10.
The second author was partially supported by R.P.I.10.

We prove in this paper that if R is an Artin algebra then $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ has Auslander-Reiten sequences and we show how to compute them in terms of reflection functors. In the case that R is an algebra over an algebraically closed field we give necessary conditions for $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ to be a representation-finite or a representation-tame category in terms of a Tits form. Moreover, if $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ has a preprojective component, this gives a criterion for the representation-finiteness of $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. In the latter case, we calculate the coordinates of the Auslander-Reiten translation of a module by means of a Coxeter linear transformation.

The main motivation of our study is the fact observed in [10, 18, 19] (see also §1) that given finite Krull-Schmidt categories \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} and a $(\mathbb{K}-\mathbb{L})$ -bimodule $N: \mathbb{K}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \text{mod}(k)$ there is an algebra R of the form (0.1) and an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mat}(\mathbb{K}N_{\mathbb{L}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{prin}(R)_B^A,$$

where $\text{Mat}(\mathbb{K}N_{\mathbb{L}})$ is the category of $\mathbb{K}N_{\mathbb{L}}$ -matrices in the sense of Drozd [7]. In particular, the subspace category $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{K}_F)$ of a vector space category \mathbb{K}_F is equivalent to $\text{prin}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} E & M \\ 0 & F \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some ${}_E M_F$. Therefore, our results give us tools to study the representation type of $\text{Mat}(\mathbb{K}N_{\mathbb{L}})$, which turns out to be an important class of matrix problems with many useful applications [16, 19].

The notion of an ${}_A M_B$ -prinjective module was introduced in [10] and independently in [19] under the name of ${}_A M_B$ -matrix module. The category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is denoted by $\text{mod}_{\text{in}}^{\text{pr}}(R)$ in [19]. Our Coxeter scheme, studied in §4, generalizes the one defined in [17] for the case where B is a division ring.

Throughout this paper R is an Artin algebra of the form (0.1). We denote by $\text{mod}(R)$, $\text{pr}(A)$, and $\text{inj}(B)$ the categories of finitely generated right R -modules, projective right A -modules, and injective right B -modules, respectively. We assume that A and B are basic algebras and we fix complete sets $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$ of orthogonal primitive idempotents in A and B respectively. Given $X_R = (X'_A, X''_B, \varphi)$ in $\text{mod}(R)$ we put $X'_i = X'_A e_i = X e_i$ and $X''_j = X''_B \eta_j = X \eta_j$.

We fix a duality $D: \text{mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{mod}(R^{\text{op}})$; that is,

$$D(-) = \text{Hom}_C(-, E(C/\mathcal{J}(C))),$$

where C is a commutative artinian ring contained in the center of R such that R is a finitely generated C -module, $\mathcal{J}(C)$ is its Jacobson radical, and $E(-)$ denotes the injective envelope. We denote by

$$(0.2) \quad \mathfrak{N}: \text{pr}(A) \rightarrow \text{inj}(A)$$

the Nakayama equivalence defined by $\mathfrak{N}(P) = D \text{Hom}_A(P, A)$ (we will write \mathfrak{N}_A whenever confusion may arise). We will frequently use the equivalence

$$(0.3) \quad P \otimes_A M_B \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A({}_B \widetilde{M}_A, \mathfrak{N}(P)),$$

with $\widetilde{M} = DM$ and P in $\text{pr}(A)$, defined as the composed map

$$P \otimes_A M_B \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_A(P, A), {}_A M_B) \xrightarrow{D} \text{Hom}_A({}_B \widetilde{M}_A, \mathfrak{N}(P)),$$

with $\sigma(p \otimes m)(f) = f(p)m$.

The results of this paper were presented during the Conference on Representation Theory of Algebras held in Warsaw (Poland) in April–May 1988.

We wish to thank Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología and the Banach Center for the financial support for our exchange visits which made this work possible.

We thank the referee for several remarks.

1. THE CATEGORY OF ${}_A M_B$ -MATRICES

Let us recall from [7] the definition of $\text{Mat}({}_K N_L)$.

Suppose that k is a commutative artinian ring, K, L are finite Krull-Schmidt k -categories, and $N: K^{\text{op}} \times L \rightarrow \text{mod}(k)$ is a $(K - L)$ -bimodule, i.e., it is an additive k -bilinear functor. The objects of $\text{Mat}({}_K N_L)$ are triples (x, y, f) with $x \in \mathcal{O}b K$, $y \in \mathcal{O}b L$, and $f \in N(x, y)$. A morphism from (x, y, f) to (x', y', f') is a pair (φ, ψ) , where $\varphi \in K(x, x')$, $\psi \in L(y, y')$ are such that $N(x, \psi)f = N(\varphi, y')f' \in N(x, y')$. It is known that $\text{Mat}({}_K N_L)$ is an additive Krull-Schmidt category.

Following [18, 19] we associate to ${}_K N_L$ an Artin k -algebra $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & {}_A M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ as follows. We take complete sets K_1, \dots, K_n and L_1, \dots, L_m of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable objects in K and L respectively and we set

$$K = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n, \quad L = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_m, \\ A = K(K, K), \quad B = L(L, L), \quad \text{and} \quad {}_A M_B = DN(K, L).$$

Proposition 1.1. *With the notation above, there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mu^*: \text{Mat}({}_K N_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{prin}(R)_B^A.$$

Proof. We repeat the proof given in [19, §5; 10, §1]. Let $\omega: K \rightarrow \text{pr}(A)$ and $\omega': L \rightarrow \text{pr}(B)$ be the Yoneda equivalences given by $\omega(-) = K(K, -)$ and $\omega'(-) = L(L, -)$. For $x \in \mathcal{O}b K$ and $y \in \mathcal{O}b L$ the Yoneda lemma yields a natural isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \mu: N(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\omega(x) \otimes_A M_B, \mathfrak{N}\omega'(y))$$

which is the composed map

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, y) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Nat}(L(y, -), N(x, -)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_B(L(y, L), N(x, L)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_B(DN(x, L), DL(y, L)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_B(K(-, x) \otimes_K DN(-, L), \mathfrak{N}\omega'(y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_B(\omega(x) \otimes_A M_B, \mathfrak{N}\omega'(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Nat}(-, -)$ denotes the set of k -linear natural transformations.

Now we associate the module $\mu^*(x, y, f) = (\omega(x), \mathfrak{N}\omega'(y), \mu(f))$ in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ to (x, y, f) in $\text{Mat}({}_K N_L)$ with $f \in N(x, y)$. Applying (1.2) one can easily check that μ^* defines an equivalence of categories. \square

2. THE CATEGORY OF PRINJECTIVE MODULES

Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & {}_A M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ be an Artin algebra. A module P (resp. Q) in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ will be called *prin-projective* (resp. *prin-injective*) if $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$) carries over short exact sequences in $\text{mod}(R)$ with prinjective terms into exact ones.

To describe the indecomposable prin-projective modules and prin-injective R -modules we need the following notation. Given $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ in $\text{mod}(R)$ we form two modules,

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{X} = (X', E(X''), \hat{\varphi}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{X} = (P(X'), X'', \tilde{\varphi}),$$

where $E(X'')$ denotes the injective envelope of X'' in $\text{mod}(B)$ and $P(X')$ denotes the projective cover of X' in $\text{mod}(A)$; the maps $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are defined in the obvious way. Now we form two families of indecomposable prinjective R -modules,

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{P}_1, \dots, \hat{P}_n, {}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m,$$

$$(2.3) \quad {}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n, \tilde{Q}_1, \dots, \tilde{Q}_m,$$

where $\hat{P}_j = \widehat{e_j R}$, ${}^0I_t = (0, E(\text{top } \eta_t B), 0)$, ${}^0P_j = (e_j A, 0, 0)$, and $\tilde{Q}_t = E_R(\text{top } \eta_t B) \sim$, $\text{top } \eta_t B = \eta_t B / \text{rad } \eta_t B$; $E_R(-)$ denotes the injective envelope in $\text{mod}(R)$.

Proposition 2.4. (a) *The category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is closed under extensions in $\text{mod}(R)$ and for any X in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ there are exact sequences in $\text{mod}(R)$*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow X \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where H_0 and U_0 are direct sums of modules in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, whereas H_1 and U_1 are direct sums of modules ${}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m$ and ${}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n$ respectively.

(b) *A module P in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is prin-projective if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, X) = 0$ for all X in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. The modules (2.2) form a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable prin-projective modules.*

(c) *A module Q in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is prin-injective if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, Q) = 0$ for all X in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. The modules (2.3) form a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable prin-injective modules.*

(d) *$\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is a hereditary subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$, i.e., $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, Y) = 0$ for all X and Y in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$.*

Proof. (a) Let $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ be in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Since X' is in $\text{pr}(A)$, then $L = (X', X' \otimes_A M_B, \text{id})$ is in $\text{pr}(R)$ and therefore \hat{L} is a direct sum of copies of $\hat{P}_1, \dots, \hat{P}_n$. The maps $\text{id}_{X'}$ and $\text{id}_{X''}$ induce the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0, Y, 0) \rightarrow \hat{L} \oplus (0, X'', 0) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0.$$

Since obviously Y, X'' are in $\text{inj}(B)$, we then get the first sequence in (a). The second one arises dually.

(b) It is clear that $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, X) = 0$ for all X in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ and P of the form (2.2). Then statement (b) follows from (a).

Since (c) follows similarly from (a) and (d) is a consequence of (a)–(c), the proof is complete. \square

Let X be indecomposable. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in an additive Krull-Schmidt category K ($= \text{prin}(R)_B^A, \text{mod}(R), \dots$) is called a *source map* for X if it satisfies:

- (a) f is not split mono;
- (b) given $f': X \rightarrow Y'$ not split mono, there exists $\eta: Y \rightarrow Y'$ with $f' = \eta f$; and
- (c) if $\gamma \in \text{End}_K(Y)$ satisfies $f\gamma = f$, then γ is an automorphism.

The dual concept is a *sink map* (see [16, (2.2)]).

We describe now the sink morphisms in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ ending at the prin-projective indecomposable modules.

Consider the case $\widehat{P}_j = \widehat{e_j R} = (e_j A, E(e_j M), \widehat{\text{id}})$. Let $\xi: X \rightarrow e_j A$ be a sink morphism in $\text{pr}(A)$; that is, $\xi: X = P(\text{rad } e_j A) \rightarrow (\text{rad } e_j A) \hookrightarrow e_j A$. Then

$$(2.5) \quad (\xi, \text{id}): (X, e_j M, \xi \otimes 1_M)^\sim \rightarrow \widehat{P}_j$$

is a sink morphism.

Consider the case ${}^0 I_t = (0, E(\text{top } \eta_t B), 0)$. Let $\lambda: Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{-1} E(\text{top } \eta_t B)$ be a sink morphism in $\text{pr}(B)$. Then $\nu = \mathfrak{N}\lambda: J = \mathfrak{N}Y \rightarrow E(\text{top } \eta_t B)$ is a sink morphism in $\text{inj}(B)$. Let $j: \ker \nu \hookrightarrow J$ be the inclusion. Then

$$(2.6) \quad (0, \nu): (\text{Hom}_B(M, \ker \nu), J, \bar{j})^\sim \rightarrow {}^0 I_t,$$

where \bar{j} is the adjoint map to $\text{Hom}_B(M, j)$, is a sink morphism.

The description of the source morphisms in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ starting at ${}^0 P_j$ and \bar{Q}_t is dual.

3. AUSLANDER-REITEN SEQUENCES FOR PRINJECTIVE MODULES

Let R be an Artin algebra of the form (0.1).

In this section we establish the existence of Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ (even in two different ways!) and we give some useful relations of the category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ with other module categories.

We start by recalling some definitions from [19]. By $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ we denote the full subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$ consisting of modules of the form $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ such that $X' \in \text{pr}(A)$ and $\varphi: X' \otimes_A M \rightarrow X''$ is onto. Dually, $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ is the full subcategory of $\text{mod}(R)$ consisting of modules $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ in $\text{mod}(R)$ such that $X'' \in \text{inj}(B)$ and the adjoint map to φ , $\bar{\varphi}: X' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, X'')$ is mono. Observe that modules in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ have no top at B and modules in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ have no socle at A . The category of *adjusted modules* $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ consists of finitely generated modules of the form $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ such that φ is onto and $\bar{\varphi}$ is mono.

Let $\Theta_B: \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ be the functor given by $(X', X'', \varphi) \mapsto (X', \text{Im } \varphi, \text{res } \varphi)$. Dually, $\Theta^A: \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ is given by

$$(X', X'', \varphi) \mapsto (\text{Im } \bar{\varphi}, X'', j_\varphi),$$

where j_φ is the adjoint map to the inclusion $\text{Im } \bar{\varphi} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, X'')$. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A & & \\ & \nearrow \Theta_B & & \searrow \Theta^A & \\ \text{prin}(R)_B^A & & & & \text{adj}(R)_B^A \\ & \searrow \Theta^A & & \nearrow \Theta_B & \\ & & \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B & & \end{array}$$

Clearly, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \Theta^A(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X, \quad \Theta_B(\hat{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \quad \text{for } X \in \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B, Y \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A.$$

Lemma 3.3. (a) If $X \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (or $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$), then there exists a natural epimorphism $\varepsilon_X: X \rightarrow \Theta^A(X)$ such that for any morphism $f: Z \rightarrow \Theta^A(X)$ with $Z \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (or $Z \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$), there is a lifting $\tilde{f}: Z \rightarrow X$ with $\varepsilon_X \tilde{f} = f$.

(b) If $X \in \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (or $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$), then there exists a natural monomorphism $\eta_X: \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow X$ such that for any morphism $f: \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow Z$ with $Z \in \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (or $Z \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$), there is an extension $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow Z$ with $\hat{f}\eta_X = f$.

(c) If $e: 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ (resp. in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$), then there exists an exact sequence $\tilde{e}: 0 \rightarrow \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\mu} E \xrightarrow{\nu} \tilde{Z} \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (resp. in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$) such that $\Theta^A(\tilde{e})$ and e are equivalent sequences.

(d) If $e: 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ (resp. in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$), then there exists an exact sequence $\hat{e}: 0 \rightarrow \hat{X} \xrightarrow{\mu} L \xrightarrow{\nu} \hat{Z} \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (resp. in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$) such that $\Theta_B(\hat{e})$ and e are equivalent sequences.

(e) Let X be an indecomposable in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (or in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$). Then $\Theta^A(X) = 0$ if and only if $X \simeq {}^0P_j$ for some j . If $X \not\simeq {}^0P_j$, then $\Theta^A(X)$ is indecomposable and $\widehat{\Theta^A(X)} \simeq X$. Moreover, $\ker \Theta^A = [{}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n]$, i.e., $\Theta^A(f) = 0$ if and only if f factorizes through a direct sum of ${}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n$.

(f) Let X be an indecomposable in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (or in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$). Then $\Theta_B(X) = 0$ if and only if $X \simeq {}^0I_t$ for some t . If $X \not\simeq {}^0I_t$, then $\Theta_B(X)$ is indecomposable and $\widehat{\Theta_B(X)} \simeq X$. Moreover, $\ker \Theta_B = [{}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m]$.

(g) Let $X \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (resp. $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$) be an indecomposable such that $X \not\simeq {}^0P_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). Then $\Theta^A(X)$ is projective in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ (resp. in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$) if and only if X is projective in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ (resp. prin-projective).

(h) Let $X \in \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (resp. $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$) be an indecomposable such that $X \not\simeq {}^0I_t$ ($1 \leq t \leq m$). Then $\Theta_B(X)$ is injective in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ (resp. in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$) if and only if X is injective in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ (resp. prin-injective).

(i) The functors Θ^A, Θ_B are full and dense with

$$\ker \Theta^A \Theta_B = [{}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n, {}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m],$$

and

$$\text{prin}(R)_B^A / [{}^0P_1, \dots, {}^0P_n, {}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m] \simeq \text{adj}(R)_B^A.$$

Proof. We give some indications of the proofs of (a), (c), (e), (g), (i); the other claims are dual.

(a) Let $X = (X', X'', \varphi) \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$. Then there is an onto A -morphism $\nu: X' \rightarrow \text{Im } \bar{\varphi}$ such that $\bar{\varphi} = i_\varphi \circ \nu$, where i_φ is the inclusion $\text{Im } \bar{\varphi} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, X'')$. Then $\varepsilon_X = (\nu, 1_{X''}): X \rightarrow \Theta^A(X)$ is a natural epimorphism. If $f = (f', f''): Z \rightarrow \Theta^A(X)$ is a morphism with $Z \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$, then there is an A -morphism $\tilde{f}': Z' \rightarrow X'$ such that $f' = \nu \tilde{f}'$. Therefore, $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}', f''): Z \rightarrow X$ is a morphism such that $f = \varepsilon_X \tilde{f}$.

(c) Consider the following exact and commutative diagram of A -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & P(X') & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & P & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & P(Z') \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & p_{X'} \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{Z'} \\
 0 & \rightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{u'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & Z' \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $P = P(X') \oplus P(Z')$. We get an exact sequence in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$,

$$\tilde{e}: 0 \rightarrow \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{(\mu', u'')} E = (P, Y'', P \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{p \otimes 1_M} Y' \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} Y'') \xrightarrow{(\nu', v'')} \tilde{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\overline{\varphi_Y(p \otimes 1_M)} = \overline{\varphi_Y} p$, then $\Theta^A(E) = \Theta^A(\tilde{Y}) \simeq Y$. It follows easily that $\Theta^A(\tilde{e})$ and e are equivalent sequences.

(e) This is left to the reader.

(g) Assume that $X \in \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ is an indecomposable such that $X \neq {}^0P_j$. Then $\Theta^A(X) \neq 0$. Assume that $\Theta^A(X)$ is projective in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$.

Let $e: 0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} X \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$. Consider the following induced exact and commutative diagram of A -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Im } \overline{\varphi}_E & \rightarrow & \text{Im } \overline{\varphi}_X \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & i \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, V'') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, E'') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, X'')
 \end{array}$$

We get an exact sequence in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$

$$0 \rightarrow (K, V'', \psi) \xrightarrow{(j, v'')} \Theta^A(E) \xrightarrow{\Theta^A v} \Theta^A(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\overline{\psi} = i$. Therefore, there exists $h: \Theta^A(X) \rightarrow \Theta^A(E)$, a morphism such that $\Theta^A(\mu)h = 1_{\Theta^A(X)}$. By (a), there is a lifting $\tilde{h}: X \rightarrow E$ such that $\varepsilon_Y \tilde{h} = h\varepsilon_X$. The endomorphism $\mu\tilde{h}$ satisfies $\Theta^A(\mu\tilde{h}) = 1_{\Theta^A(X)}$. Since X is indecomposable, $\nu\tilde{h}$ is an isomorphism. Thus μ splits and X is projective.

(i) Follows easily. \square

If $\eta: 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\text{mod}(R)$, where X, Y, Z are in $K (= \text{adj}(R)_B^A, \text{prin}(R)_B^A, \dots)$ with X and Z indecomposable, then η is an *Auslander-Reiten sequence* if f is a source map, or, equivalently, g is a sink map in K . The category K is said to *have Auslander-Reiten sequences* if for every indecomposable noninjective (resp. nonprojective) object X in K there exists an Auslander-Reiten sequence in K starting (resp. ending) at X (see [16]). Moreover, K is said to *have source maps* (resp. *sink maps*) if for every indecomposable object X in K there exists a source map in K starting (resp. sink map in K ending) at X .

Theorem 3.4. *The categories $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$, $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$, $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$, and $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ have Auslander-Reiten sequences, source maps, and sink maps.*

Proof. As observed in [19], the existence of Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ is a direct application of [2].

Let X be a nonprojective indecomposable in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$. By Lemma 3.3, $\Theta_B(X)$ is indecomposable and nonzero. If $\Theta_B(X)$ is nonprojective, then there

exists an Auslander-Reiten sequence $e: 0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$. By (3.3)(d),(f), there is an exact commutative diagram in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} e: 0 & \rightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{u} & E & \xrightarrow{v} & \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \eta_X \\ \hat{e}: 0 & \rightarrow & \hat{Z} & \rightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \simeq \overline{\Theta_B(X)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\Theta_B(\hat{e})$ and e are equivalent sequences. We claim that \hat{e} is an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$.

In fact, let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a noninvertible map in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$, where Y is indecomposable. If $\Theta_B(f) = 0$, then f has a factorization through a direct sum of modules ${}^0I_1, \dots, {}^0I_m$ (3.3)(f). Since every 0I_i is projective in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$, then $f = \mu g$ for some $g: Y \rightarrow L$. Suppose now that $\Theta_B(f) \neq 0$. By (3.3)(f), $\Theta_B(X)$ and $\Theta_B(Y)$ are indecomposable and $\Theta_B(f)$ is not invertible. Since e is an Auslander-Reiten sequence, there exists $h: \Theta_B(Y) \rightarrow E$ such that $\Theta_B(f) = vh$. By (3.3)(b), there exists $g \in \text{Hom}_R(Y, L)$ such that $th = g\eta_Y$. Hence, $(\mu g - f)\eta_Y = \mu th - \eta_X \Theta_B(f) = \eta_X(vh - \Theta_B(f)) = 0$; that is, $\Theta_B(\mu g - f) = 0$. As above, $\mu g - f$ factorizes through μ . Therefore, f factorizes through μ . Hence our claim follows. If $\Theta_B(X)$ is projective, see the note added in proof.

For the proof that for every noninjective module X in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ there exists an Auslander-Reiten sequence starting at X , we recall from [19, §2; 18, §7B] the following. There is a reflection duality

$$(3.5) \quad D^\bullet: \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B \rightarrow \text{mod}_{ic}(R^\bullet)_B,$$

where R^\bullet is the algebra opposite to R^∇ defined in (3.6) below and D^\bullet is the composed functor

$$\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B \xrightarrow{\nabla_-} \text{mod}^{pg}(R^\nabla)^B \xrightarrow{D} \text{mod}_{ic}(R^\bullet)_B$$

with $\nabla_-(X', X'', \varphi) = (\mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(X''), \text{coker } \overline{\varphi}, \nu_X)$ and $\nu_X: \mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(X'') \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(M, X'') \rightarrow \text{coker } \overline{\varphi}$.

In view of the duality D^\bullet , the required property easily follows.

The existence of source and sink maps in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ can be shown similarly as in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ as discussed in §2.

The proof for $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ can be done in a similar way as above, whereas for $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ the proof follows from the case above using the duality D . \square

If X is an indecomposable nonprojective module in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ we denote by ΔX the starting term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending at X . Dually, if X is indecomposable noninjective, $\Delta^- X$ is the ending term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ starting at X .

We introduce a Coxeter scheme similar to that given in [17]. For this purpose, we consider the reflection form

$$(3.6) \quad R^\nabla = \begin{bmatrix} B & {}_B \widetilde{M}_A \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$$

of R , where $\widetilde{M} = DM$.

We introduce reflection functors in the following way:

$$S^B: \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow \text{mod}_{ic}(R^\nabla)_A$$

defined on objects as

$$X = (X', X'', \varphi) \mapsto (\ker \varphi, \mathfrak{N}_A(X'), j_X),$$

where $j_X: \ker \varphi \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_A(X')$ is the adjoint map to the composition $\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow X' \otimes_A M \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{M}, \mathfrak{N}_A(X'))$;

$$S_A: \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow \text{mod}^{pg}(R^\nabla)^B$$

defined on objects as

$$X = (X', X'', \varphi) \mapsto (\mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(X''), \text{coker } \overline{\varphi}, \nu_X),$$

where $\overline{\varphi}: X' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, X'')$ is the adjoint map to φ and ν_X is the composition $\mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(X'') \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M, X'') \rightarrow \text{coker } \overline{\varphi}$.

We define dually the functors

$$S_B: \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B \rightarrow \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A, \quad S^A: \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B \rightarrow \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B.$$

The partial Coxeter maps are given by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^B: \text{prin}(R)_B^A &\rightarrow \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B, & \Delta^B(X) &= \widetilde{S^B(X)}, \\ \Delta_B: \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B &\rightarrow \text{prin}(R)_B^A, & \Delta_B(Y) &= \widetilde{S_B(Y)}, \\ \Delta^A: \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B &\rightarrow \text{prin}(R)_B^A, & \Delta^A(Y) &= \widetilde{S^A(Y)}, \\ \Delta_A: \text{prin}(R)_B^A &\rightarrow \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B, & \Delta_A(X) &= \widetilde{S_A(X)}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by (3.2), we get the following commutative diagrams:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A & \\ \Theta_B \nearrow & \swarrow S_B & \\ \text{prin}(R)_B^A & \xleftrightarrow[\Delta^B]{\Delta_B} & \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B \\ \searrow S^B & \nwarrow \Theta^B & \\ & \text{mod}_{ic}(R^\nabla)_A & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{mod}^{pg}(R^\nabla)^B & \\ \Theta_A \nearrow & \swarrow S_A & \\ \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B & \xleftrightarrow[\Delta^A]{\Delta_A} & \text{prin}(R)_B^A \\ \searrow S^A & \nwarrow \Theta^A & \\ & \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B & \end{array}$$

We will use this scheme to give formulas connecting the left-hand and right-hand terms of the Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. We follow ideas in [19, Proposition 2.17, Theorem 3.28; 17, Corollary 3.7].

By $\text{tr}: \text{mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{mod}(R^{\text{op}})$ we denote the usual transpose construction.

Lemma 3.9. *Let X be an indecomposable in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Then*

$$D \text{tr } \Theta_B(X) \simeq S^A \Delta^B(X), \quad \text{tr } D \Theta^A(X) \simeq S_B \Delta_A(X).$$

In particular, $D \text{tr } \Theta_B(X)$ is in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ and $\text{tr } D \Theta^A(X)$ is in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$.

Proof. First we note that the following diagram

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B & \xrightarrow{S^A} & \text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B \\ D \downarrow & & \downarrow D \\ \text{prin}(R^{\nabla \text{op}})_{B^{\text{op}}}^A & \xrightarrow{S_A^{\text{op}}} & \text{mod}^{pg}(R^{\text{op}})^{B^{\text{op}}} \end{array}$$

is commutative. This follows from the definitions of S^A and $S_{A^{\text{op}}}$ and the fact that given $Y_{R^\nabla} = (P_B, Q_A, t)$ in $\text{prin}(R^\nabla)_A^B$ we have

$$D(Y) = (D(Q_A), D(P_B), \psi),$$

where ψ is the image of $t: P \otimes_B \widetilde{M}_A \rightarrow Q$ under the composed isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_A(P \otimes_B \widetilde{M}_A, Q_A) &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(D(Q_A), D(P \otimes_B \widetilde{M}_A)) \\
 &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(D(Q_A), \text{Hom}_B(P_B, {}_A M_B)) \\
 (3.11) \quad &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(D(Q_A), \text{Hom}_B({}_B \widetilde{M}_A, D(P_B))) \\
 &\simeq \text{Hom}_B({}_B \widetilde{M}_A \otimes_A D(Q_A), D(P_B)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $X_R = (X'_A, X''_B, \varphi)$ be in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ and let P_B be the projective cover of $\ker \varphi$. We get an exact sequence $P_B \xrightarrow{u} X' \otimes_A M_B \xrightarrow{\varphi} X''_B$ and

$$\Delta^B(X) = (P_B, \mathfrak{N}_A(X'_A), t),$$

where t is the adjoint map to the composition

$$P_B \xrightarrow{u} X' \otimes_A M_B \simeq \text{Hom}_A({}_B \widetilde{M}_A, \mathfrak{N}_A(X')).$$

Let us consider the module $Z = S_{A^{\text{op}}} D \Delta^B(X)$ in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R^{\text{op}})^{B^{\text{op}}}$. Note that $Z = ({}_B Z', {}_A Z'', h)$, where ${}_B Z' = \text{Hom}_B(P_B, B)$ and $h: {}_A M \otimes_B Z' \rightarrow {}_A Z''$ is the cokernel of the composed map

$$\varepsilon: \text{Hom}_A(X'_A, A) \simeq D\mathfrak{N}_A(X'_A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} \text{Hom}_B({}_B \widetilde{M}_A, D(P_B)) \xrightarrow{(0,3)} {}_A M \otimes_B Z',$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ is the adjoint map to the image ψ of t under the isomorphism (3.11).

On the other hand, $P_R(\Theta_B(X)) \simeq (X'_A, X' \otimes_A M_B, \text{id})$ and therefore the sequence

$$(0, P_B, 0) \xrightarrow{(0,u)} P_R(\Theta_B(X)) \rightarrow \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. It is not hard to show the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_R(P_R(\Theta_B(X)), R) & \xrightarrow{(0,u)^*} & \text{Hom}_R((0, P_B, 0), R) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (0, \text{Hom}_A(X'_A, A), 0) & \xrightarrow{(0,\varepsilon)} & (\text{Hom}_B(P_B, B), {}_A M \otimes_B \text{Hom}_B(P_B, B), \text{id})
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\text{tr } \Theta_B(X) \simeq \text{coker}(0, u)^* \simeq \text{coker}(0, \varepsilon) \simeq Z$. Since the diagram (3.10) is commutative, we get $S^A \Delta^B(X) \simeq D(Z) \simeq D \text{tr } \Theta_B(X)$, as desired.

The remaining part of the lemma follows in a similar way. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{t} Z \rightarrow 0$ be an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{mod}(R)$ with X, Z indecomposable.*

(a) *If X is in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$, then Z is in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$. If Z is not of the form ${}^0 P_j$, then the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Theta^A(Y) \rightarrow \Theta^A(Z) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

(b) *If Z is in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$, then X is in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$. If X is not of the form ${}^0 I_i$, then the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow \Theta_B(Y) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

Proof. (a) If X is in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ then $X \simeq \Theta^A(\tilde{X})$ and by (3.9), $Z \simeq \text{tr } D(X) \simeq \text{tr } D\Theta^A(\tilde{X}) \simeq S_B \Delta_A(\tilde{X})$ is in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$.

Let $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$, $Y = (Y', Y'', \psi)$, and $Z = (Z', Z'', \lambda)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \ker \bar{\psi} & \xrightarrow{t'_0} & \ker \bar{\lambda} & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{u'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{t'} & Z' \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \wr \downarrow \bar{\varphi} & & \bar{\psi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\lambda} \\
 & & \text{Im } \bar{\varphi} & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}'} & \text{Im } \bar{\psi} & \xrightarrow{\bar{t}'} & \text{Im } \bar{\lambda} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, X'') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, Y'') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, Z'') \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with the second and the last row exact, where $\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\lambda}$ are the adjoint maps to φ, ψ, λ and $\tilde{u}', \tilde{t}', t'_0$ are maps induced by u, t . It is clear that t'_0 and \tilde{u}' are injective, \tilde{t}' is surjective, and $\tilde{t}'\tilde{u}' = 0$. We claim that t'_0 is surjective. If $\ker \bar{\lambda} = 0$, this is clear. If $\ker \bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, the inclusion $(\ker \bar{\lambda}, 0, 0) \hookrightarrow Z$ is nonsurjective (since otherwise $Z \simeq {}^0P_j$ for some j) and by the right almost split property, it has a factorization through t . It follows that t'_0 is surjective and hence it is an isomorphism. The snake lemma implies that $\ker \tilde{t}' = \text{Im } \tilde{u}'$ and (a) follows. Statement (b) is dual to (a). \square

Theorem 3.13. *If $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$, then*

$$X \simeq D \text{tr } \Theta_B(Z)^\sim \simeq \Delta^A \Delta^B(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \simeq \text{tr } D\Theta^A(X)^\wedge \simeq \Delta_B \Delta_A(X).$$

Proof. Let X be an indecomposable non-prin-injective module in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. By (3.3)(a),(e), $0 \neq \Theta^A(X)$ is indecomposable and not injective in $\text{mod}(R)$ (compare [19, Theorem 3.28]). Let

$$e: 0 \rightarrow \Theta^A(X) \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{v} L \rightarrow 0$$

be an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{mod}(R)$.

Assume first that $L \simeq {}^0P_j$. Then as in the proof of (3.4) we get an Auslander-Reiten sequence

$$\tilde{e}: 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\Theta^A(X)} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\nu} \tilde{L} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $L = \tilde{L}$ and $X \simeq \widetilde{\Theta^A(X)}$, it follows that

$$Z \simeq L = \hat{L} = \text{tr } D\Theta^A(X)^\wedge \underset{(3.9)}{\simeq} S_B \Delta_A(X)^\wedge \simeq \Delta_B \Delta_A(X).$$

Assume L is not of the form 0P_j . By (3.12), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta^A(X) \xrightarrow{u} \Theta^A(W) \rightarrow \Theta^A(L) \rightarrow 0$$

and also an exact nonsplit sequence in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$,

$$e': 0 \rightarrow \Theta^A(X) \xrightarrow{u'} \widetilde{\Theta^A(W)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Theta^A(L)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where u' is the composition of u with the natural embedding $\Theta^A(W) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\Theta^A(W)}$.

Since L is in $\text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$ by (3.9), $\Theta^A(L)$ is an indecomposable module in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ and $\widetilde{\Theta^A(L)}$ is indecomposable in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$. It is easy to check that u' is a source map in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$ and, therefore, e' is an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$. Applying (3.3) (as in the proof of (3.4)), we get an Auslander-Reiten sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\Theta^A(X)} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \widetilde{\widetilde{\Theta^A(L)}} \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Since $\widetilde{\Theta^A(X)} \simeq X$, it follows that

$$Z \simeq \widetilde{\widetilde{\Theta^A(L)}} \simeq \hat{L} \simeq \text{tr } D\Theta^A(X)^\wedge \simeq \Delta_B \Delta_A(X).$$

The remaining part is dual. \square

We remark that Theorem 3.13 gives an explicit construction of the Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ starting with those in $\text{mod}(R)$.

Corollary 3.14. $\Delta = \Delta^A \Delta^B$ and $\Delta^- = \Delta_B \Delta_A$. \square

We denote by $\underline{\text{prin}}(R)_B^A$ (resp. $\overline{\text{prin}}(R)_B^A$) the factor category of $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ modulo the ideal formed by all maps which admit a factorization through a prin-projective (resp. prin-injective) module. The corresponding Hom functor is denoted by $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ and $\overline{\text{Hom}}$, respectively. We shall prove the relative Auslander-Reiten formula.

Proposition 3.15. (a) For $X, Y \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ there are natural isomorphisms

$$D \text{Ext}_R^1(X, Y) \simeq \overline{\text{Hom}}_R(Y, \Delta X) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(\Delta^- Y, X).$$

(b) Δ and Δ^- induce inverse equivalences

$$\underline{\text{prin}}(R)_B^A \xrightleftharpoons[\Delta^-]{\Delta} \overline{\text{prin}}(R)_B^A,$$

$$\text{adj}(R)_B^A/[e_1 R, \dots, e_n R] \simeq \text{adj}(R)_B^A/[Q_1, \dots, Q_m],$$

where $Q_i = E_R(\text{top } \eta_i B)$.

Proof. (a) Let $e: 0 \rightarrow \Delta X \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} X \rightarrow 0$ be an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Choose $\varphi \in D \text{Ext}_R^1(X, \Delta X)$ such that $\varphi(e) \neq 0$. We define a natural morphism

$$h: \text{Hom}_R(-, \Delta X) \rightarrow D \text{Ext}_R^1(X, -)$$

by $h_{\Delta X}(1_{\Delta X}) = \varphi$.

Let $Y \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$. We show that h_Y is epi by proving that Dh_Y is mono. Let $0 \neq \eta \in \text{Ext}_R^1(X, Y)$. Then we obtain the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \eta: 0 & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & E' & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ e: 0 & \rightarrow & \Delta X & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, $f\eta = e$ and $Dh_Y(\eta)(f) = \varphi(f\eta) = \varphi(e) \neq 0$.

Clearly, h factorizes through $\overline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, \Delta X)$. Then we get a natural epimorphism $\bar{h}: \overline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, \Delta X) \rightarrow D \text{Ext}_R^1(X, -)$.

Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(Y, \Delta X)$ and assume that f does not admit a factorization through prin-injective modules. By (2.4), there is an exact sequence $\eta: 0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow 0$, where U_0 and U_1 are prin-injective modules. Therefore, $0 \neq f\eta \in \text{Ext}_R^1(U_1, \Delta X)$ and we get a morphism $g \in \text{Hom}_R(X, U_1)$ such that $(f\eta)g = e$. Thus we have constructed $\eta g \in \text{Ext}_R^1(X, Y)$ such that $h_Y(f)(\eta g) = \varphi(f(\eta g)) = \varphi(e) \neq 0$. This shows that \bar{h} is a natural isomorphism.

The remaining part of the proof is simple. \square

In [10], the existence of Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is shown by means of functorial methods. We give here a brief survey of the main ideas.

Let X be any module in $\text{mod}(R)$. We denote the restriction

$$\text{Hom}_R(-, X)|_{\text{prin}(R)_B^A}$$

by $\text{prin}_R(-, X)$.

Proposition 3.16. *Let $X \in \text{mod}(R)$. Then there exists a module \bar{X} in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ such that $\text{prin}_R(-, \bar{X}) \rightarrow \text{prin}_R(-, X)$ is a projective cover in the category of contravariant functors from $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ to Ab .*

Proof. Let

$$X = (X'_A, X''_B, \varphi) \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A.$$

First we show that $\text{Hom}_B(-, X'')|_{\text{inj}(B)}$ is finitely generated in the category of contravariant functors from $\text{inj}(B)$ to Ab . Indeed, let $0 \rightarrow X'' \xrightarrow{m} I_0 \xrightarrow{i} I_1$ be an injective presentation of X'' in $\text{mod}(B)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} \mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(I_0) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(i)} \mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(I_1)$$

and let $P \xrightarrow{p} K$ be a projective cover. As $i\mathfrak{N}_B(j) = \mathfrak{N}_B(\mathfrak{N}_B^{-1}(i)j) = 0$, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{N}_B(P) & \\ \mathfrak{N}_B(p) \downarrow & & \\ & \mathfrak{N}_B(K) & \\ & \downarrow s \quad \searrow \mathfrak{N}_B(j) & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & X'' & \xrightarrow{m} I_0 \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\nu = s\mathfrak{N}_B(p): I = \mathfrak{N}_B(P) \rightarrow X''$ yields the wanted morphism.

Consider the fibered product in $\text{mod}(A)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Hom}_B(M, I) \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, \nu) \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \text{Hom}_B(M, X'') \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ is the adjoint map to φ . Let $P(L) \xrightarrow{l} L$ be a projective cover in $\text{mod}(A)$ and let $\psi: P(L) \otimes_A M \rightarrow I$ be the adjoint map to $hl: P(L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, I)$. Then

$$f = (tl, \nu): \bar{X} = (P(L), I, \psi) \rightarrow X$$

yields an onto transformation

$$(-, f): \text{prin}_R(-, \bar{X}) \rightarrow \text{prin}_R(-, X)$$

in the category $(\text{prin}(R)_B^A, Ab)$. The existence of the projective cover easily follows. \square

The existence of Auslander-Reiten sequences can be proved as follows. By (3.16), the category of all finitely presented modules $F: (\text{prin}(R)_B^A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ab$ is abelian. Let $F: (\text{prin}(R)_B^A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ab$ be a finitely presented functor; then $DF: \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow Ab$ is also finitely presented. If $0 \neq F$, a simple quotient of DF provides a simple subfunctor of F . Let Z be an indecomposable non-prin-projective module in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Then the functor $\text{Ext}_R^1(Z, -): \text{prin}(R)_B^A \rightarrow Ab$ is finitely presented and nonzero. Let S_X be a simple subfunctor of $\text{Ext}_R^1(Z, -)$

with X an indecomposable module in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ such that $S_X(X) \neq 0$. An exact sequence $\varepsilon: 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ which generates $\text{Im}(S_X \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(Z, -))$ is the wanted sequence.

In [10] the following formula is shown by applying the functorial arguments above.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $Z \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ be an indecomposable non-prin-projective module. There exists a prin-injective module I such that $\overline{D} \text{tr} Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta Z \oplus I$. \square*

The Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ of the category $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ is defined as usual.

4. ALGEBRAS OVER FIELDS

Let R be a basic finite-dimensional algebra over a field k . In the case R is schurian of upper triangular form we will study the representation type of $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ in terms of a Cartan matrix and a corresponding quadratic form. The methods will be particularly successful when R is of *finite prinjective type* (i.e., there are only finitely many indecomposable modules in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$, up to isomorphism) and the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ is a preprojective component.

We recall that we have fixed complete sets e_1, \dots, e_n and η_1, \dots, η_m of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for A and B respectively. We define numbers

$$a_{ij} = \dim_k e_i A e_j, \quad b_{st} = \dim_k \eta_s B \eta_t, \quad c_{is} = \dim_k e_i M \eta_s,$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $s, t \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We consider the bilinear form

$$(4.1) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R: \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

defined by the formula

$$\langle z, w \rangle_R = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i w_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m c_{is} z_i w_{n+s} + \sum_{s,t=1}^m b_{st} z_{n+s} w_{n+t}.$$

The associated quadratic form $\chi_R(z) = \langle z, z \rangle_R$ is called the *Tits form* of $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$.

Given $X = (X', X'', \varphi)$ in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$, we consider decompositions

$$X' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (e_i A)^{x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad X'' = \bigoplus_{s=1}^m (DB \eta_s)^{x_{n+s}}.$$

Following [4, 17], we define the *coordinate vector* of X as $\mathbf{cdn} X = (x_i)_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$. The *dimension vector* of X is $\mathbf{dim} X = ((\dim_k X' e_i)_i, (\dim_k X'' \eta_s)_s) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$.

In the case k is algebraically closed, we say that R is of *tame prinjective type* if for each vector $w \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$, there is a finite family $M_1^{(w)}, \dots, M_{s_w}^{(w)}$ of $(k[x] - R)$ -bimodules which are free as $k[x]$ -modules and such that every indecomposable $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ with $\mathbf{cdn} X = w$ is isomorphic to $N \otimes_{k[x]} M_i^{(w)}$ for some i and some simple $k[x]$ -module N .

One of the motivations for considering the Tits form is the following

Proposition 4.2. (a) If R is of finite prinjective type, then χ_R is weakly positive (i.e., $\chi_R(z) > 0$, for $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$).

(b) If k is algebraically closed and R is of tame prinjective type, then χ_R is weakly nonnegative (i.e., $\chi_R(z) \geq 0$, for $z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$).

Proof. We recall here briefly the arguments given in [5] for (a) and in [15] for (b).

(a) Let $z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$. By V_z we denote the variety of all $X \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ with $\text{cdn } X = z$. Therefore, $\dim V_z = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m c_{is} z_i z_{n+s}$.

Let $P_z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (e_i A)^{z_i}$ and $I_z = \bigoplus_{s=1}^m (DB \eta_s)^{z_{n+s}}$. Isomorphism in V_z is given by the action of the group $G(z)$ with elements of the form $(\alpha \otimes_A \text{id}_M, \beta)$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Aut}_A P_z \times \text{Aut}_B I_z$. Hence,

$$\dim G(z) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i z_j + \sum_{s,t=1}^m b_{st} z_{n+s} z_{n+t}.$$

As $k^* \subset G(z)$ acts trivially and there are only finitely many orbits under the action of $G(z)$ on V_z , $\dim G(z) - 1 \geq \dim V_z$. Thus, $\chi_R(z) \geq 1$.

(b) Let $w \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$. There are modules $M_1^{(w)}, \dots, M_{s_w}^{(w)}$ as in the definition. Let $V_1^{(w)}, \dots, V_{s_w}^{(w)}$ be the varieties of dimension at most 1 in V_w obtained as the images of the functors $M_i^{(w)} \otimes_{k[x]} -$ evaluated in the $k[x]$ -simples. For any family of vectors $(w_i)_i$ with $w_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$, $\sum_i w_i = z$, and numbers $(j_i)_i$ with $j_i \in \{1, \dots, s_{w_i}\}$, we consider the (algebraic) map $\prod_i V_{j_i}^{(w_i)} \times G(z) \rightarrow V_z$, $((M_i)_i, g) \mapsto (\bigoplus_i M_i)^g$. Clearly, any module in V_z is in the image of one of these maps. Since there are only finitely many of these maps and their images are constructible,

$$\dim V_z \leq \max \left\{ \sum_i \dim V_{j_i}^{(w_i)} \right\} + \dim G(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\dim V_z \leq |z| + \dim G(z),$$

where $|z| = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i + \sum_{s=1}^m z_{n+s}$. Thus, $\chi_R(z) \geq -|z|$. If $\chi_R(z) = -s$, for some $s > 0$, then $-|z| \leq \chi_R(lz) = l^2 \chi_R(z) = -l^2 s$ and $|z| \geq ls$, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. A contradiction proving that $\chi_R(z) \geq 0$. \square

The following remark in [16, 2.5] is useful: Let $e: 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Then there exists a morphism $\delta \in \text{Hom}_A(Z', \text{Hom}_B(M, X''))$ such that e is equivalent to the sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{\binom{0}{\delta}} & X' \oplus Z' & \xrightarrow{(0,1)} & Z' & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \overline{\varphi}_X & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\varphi}_X & \delta \\ 0 & \overline{\varphi}_Z \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \overline{\varphi}_Z & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_B(M, X'') & \xrightarrow{\binom{0}{\delta}} & \text{Hom}_B(M, X'') \oplus \text{Hom}_B(M, Z'') & \xrightarrow{(0,1)} & \text{Hom}_B(M, Z'') & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $X = (X', X'', \varphi_X)$ and $\overline{\varphi}_X$ is the adjoint map to φ_X ; similarly for Y, Z .

We write $e = [\delta]$. We get an exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Z, X) \xrightarrow{\nu_1} \text{Hom}_A(Z', X') \times \text{Hom}_B(Z'', X'') \\ &\xrightarrow{\nu_2} \text{Hom}_A(Z', \text{Hom}_B(M, X'')) \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Ext}_R^1(Z, X) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\nu_1(g) = (g', g'')$, $\nu_2(\alpha, \beta) = \overline{\varphi}_X \alpha - \text{Hom}_B(M, \beta) \overline{\varphi}_Z$, and $\nu(\delta) = [\delta]$.

As a direct consequence we have

Proposition 4.4. *Let $X, Z \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$. Then*

$$\langle \text{cdn } Z, \text{cdn } X \rangle_R = \dim_k \text{Hom}_R(Z, X) - \dim_k \text{Ext}_R^1(Z, X). \quad \square$$

We now develop some general arguments about reflections that will be useful for our considerations of algebras over fields.

Following [14, 20] by a *bipartite Cartan matrix* we shall mean a matrix C of the form

$$(4.5) \quad C = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & c_{1m} \\ a'_{12} & 1 & \dots & a'_{2n} & c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & c_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a'_{1n} & a'_{2n} & \dots & 1 & c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & c_{nm} \\ \hline c'_{11} & c'_{21} & \dots & c'_{n1} & 1 & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1m} \\ c'_{12} & c'_{22} & \dots & c'_{n2} & b'_{12} & 1 & \dots & b'_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c'_{1m} & c'_{2m} & \dots & c'_{nm} & b'_{1m} & b'_{2m} & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

with integral nonnegative coefficients. We say that C is *symmetrizable* by positive natural numbers $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_m$ if $a_{ij}f_j = f_i a'_{ij}$, $b_{ij}g_j = g_i b'_{ij}$, and $c_{ij}g_j = f_i c'_{ij}$ for all i, j . In this situation we construct the bilinear form

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \cdot, - \rangle_C: \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

given by the formula

$$\langle x, y \rangle_C = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j x_i y_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m c_{is} g_s x_i y_{n+s} + \sum_{s,t=1}^m b_{st} g_t x_{n+s} y_{n+t},$$

where $a_{ii} = 1 = b_{ss}$. Let $\chi_C(z) = \langle z, z \rangle_C$ be the quadratic form associated to $\langle \cdot, - \rangle_C$ and let

$$(-, \cdot)_C: \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

be the associated symmetric bilinear form, i.e., $(z, w)_C = \frac{1}{2}(\langle z, w \rangle_C + \langle w, z \rangle_C)$.

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} = \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^m$ be the standard basis (i.e., $\xi_i(j) = \delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta). We define *reflections*

$$\delta_j: \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+m,$$

by the formulas

$$\delta_i(z) = z - \frac{2(z, \xi_i)_C}{f_i} \xi_i \quad \text{for } i \leq n$$

and

$$\delta_{n+t}(z) = z - \frac{2(z, \xi_{n+t})_C}{g_t} \xi_{n+t} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, m.$$

The composed map

$$(4.7) \quad \delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_n \cdots \delta_{n+m}$$

is called the *Coxeter transformation* associated to C . Clearly, $\chi_C(\delta(z)) = \chi_C(z)$ for any vector $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$. Then*

- (a) $\delta(z)_j = \delta_j \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(z)_j$;
- (b) $\langle \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(z), \xi_j \rangle_C = \langle z, \xi_j \rangle_C$.

Proof. (a) is straightforward.

(b) is by downwards induction on j . If $j = n + m$, there is nothing to show. Let $j < n + m$. We may assume that $n \leq j$; then

$$\langle \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(z), \xi_j \rangle_C = \left\langle \delta_{j+2} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(z) - \frac{2(z, \xi_{j+1})}{g_{j+1-n}} \xi_{j+1}, \xi_j \right\rangle_C.$$

Since $\langle \xi_{j+1}, \xi_j \rangle_C = 0$, the result follows by induction. \square

The following result is similar to [16, 2.4].

Lemma 4.9. *For any two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ we have $\langle w, \delta(v) \rangle_C = -\langle v, w \rangle_C$.*

Proof. It is enough to show the formula for $w = \xi_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n + m$. Let $n < j \leq n + m$. By applying (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_j, \delta(v) \rangle_C &= g_{j-n} \delta(v)_j + \sum_{i=j-n+1}^m b_{(j-n)i} g_i \delta(v)_{n+i} \\ &= g_{j-n} \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_j - 2 \langle \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v), \xi_j \rangle_C \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=j-n+1}^m b_{(j-n)i} g_i \delta(v)_{n+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v), \xi_j \rangle_C &= \langle v, \xi_j \rangle_C + \langle \xi_j, \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v) \rangle_C \\ &= \langle v, \xi_j \rangle_C + \sum_{i=j-n}^m b_{(j-n)i} g_i \delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_{n+i}, \end{aligned}$$

we get the desired result. The proof for $1 \leq j \leq n$ is similar. \square

We say that R has a *schurian upper triangular form* if R has the shape

$$(4.10) \quad R = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} A_1 & & & {}_i A_j & {}_1 M_1 & \cdots & {}_1 M_m \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & A_n & {}_n M_1 & \cdots & {}_n M_m & \\ \hline & & 0 & B_1 & & & {}_s B_t \\ & & & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & & B_m & \end{array} \right],$$

where A_1, \dots, A_n and B_1, \dots, B_m are division rings, and ${}_i A_j$, ${}_i M_s$ and ${}_s B_t$ are bimodules. We assume that $A_i = e_i A e_i$, ${}_i A_j = e_i A e_j$, $B_s = \eta_s B \eta_s$, ${}_s B_t = \eta_s B \eta_t$, and ${}_i M_j = e_i R \eta_j$.

We associate to R the bipartite Cartan matrix $C = C(R)$ with $a_{ij} = \dim({}_i A_j)_{A_j}$, $a'_{ij} = \dim({}_i A_i)_{A_j}$, $c_{it} = \dim({}_i M_t)_{B_t}$, $c'_{it} = \dim({}_i A_i)_{(i) M_t}$, $b_{st} = \dim({}_s B_t)_{B_t}$, and $b'_{st} = \dim({}_s B_s)_{(s) B_t}$. Then $C(R)$ is symmetrizable by the numbers

$$f_j = \dim_k A_j \quad \text{and} \quad g_t = \dim_k B_t.$$

It is clear that

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \cdot, - \rangle_R = \langle \cdot, - \rangle_{C(R)}.$$

From here on, we assume that R is an *indecomposable ring* (or connected in the sense of [9]). In this case, by well-known arguments of Auslander, R is of finite prinjective type if and only if $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a finite component.

Lemma 4.12. *Assume that R is of finite prinjective type and $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a preprojective component. Then:*

- (a) R has (up to isomorphism) a schurian upper triangular form (4.10).
- (b) $C(R)$ has the property that $c_{is}c'_{is} \leq 3$ for all i, s . If in addition R is an algebra over an algebraically closed field, then $c_{is}c'_{is} \leq 1$.

Proof. (a) Any cycle $\eta_{i_0}B\eta_{i_1} \neq 0, \eta_{i_1}B\eta_{i_2} \neq 0, \dots, \eta_{i_l}B\eta_{i_0} \neq 0$ would produce a cycle $\text{Hom}_R(P_0, P_l) \neq 0, \text{Hom}_R(P_l, P_{l-1}) \neq 0, \dots, \text{Hom}_R(P_1, P_0) \neq 0$ and thus is in $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$. Here, $P_s = (0, \mathfrak{N}(\eta_s B), 0) \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$. If $x \in \eta_s B \eta_s$ (resp. $x \in e_j A e_j$) is noninvertible, then we get a noninvertible endomorphism of P_s (resp. of $(e_j A, 0, 0)$).

- (b) Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq s \leq m$. Let

$$R' = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & {}_i M_s \\ 0 & B_s \end{bmatrix}.$$

There is a fully-faithful functor $\varphi: \text{prin}(R')_{B_s}^{A_i} \rightarrow \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ such that

$$\text{res} \circ \varphi(X) \simeq X$$

(compare with [18, 19]). Therefore, R' is of finite prinjective type. The Tits form associated with $\text{prin}(R')_{B_s}^{A_i}$ is

$$f_i x^2 + g_s y^2 - c_{is} g_s x y.$$

By (4.2), this form is weakly positive; then the discriminant

$$c_{is}^2 g_s^2 - 4 f_i g_s = c_{is} c'_{is} f_i g_s - 4 f_i g_s$$

is negative. Thus, $c_{is} c'_{is} < 4$.

If R is a k -algebra with k algebraically closed, then $f_i = 1 = g_s$ for all i, s . Therefore, $c_{is} = c'_{is}$ and the condition above implies $c_{is} c'_{is} \leq 1$. \square

A large class of examples of rings R satisfying the assumptions of the above lemma are the *sp*-representation-finite right peak algebras studied in [14] and the piecewise peak algebras of finite prinjective type studied in [20]. In both cases there is a diagrammatic characterization of these algebras and sincere algebras are described.

Proposition 4.13. *Let R be a ring of the form (0.1) and assume that $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a preprojective component. Then R is of finite prinjective type if and only if χ_R is weakly positive. Moreover, in this case, if R is a k -algebra with k an algebraically closed field, then:*

- (a) $X \mapsto \mathbf{cdn} X$ is a bijection between the indecomposable modules in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ and the positive roots of χ_R .
- (b) If X and Y are indecomposables in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ and $\mathbf{dim} X = \mathbf{dim} Y$, then $X \simeq Y$.

Proof. The first claim and (a) follow from the well-known Drozd's arguments [8, 16].

(b) Consider the matrix

$$H = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{(\dim_k e_i A e_j)_{i,j}}{0} & \frac{(\dim_k e_i M \eta_s)_{i,s}}{(\dim_k \eta_s B \eta_t)_{s,t}} \end{array} \right].$$

Then we have

$$\left((\dim_k X' e_i)_i, \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\dim_k X' e_i) (\dim_k e_i M \eta_s) + \dim_k X''_{\eta_s} \right)_s \right) = (\mathbf{cdn} X) H.$$

By (4.12), H is invertible and the result follows from (a). \square

Our aim is to use the Coxeter transformation δ associated with $C(R)$ to calculate the Auslander-Reiten translation in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$. We start by showing that in some cases δ preserves positiveness of vectors.

Lemma 4.14. *Let R be of finite prinjective type over an algebraically closed field and such that $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a preprojective component. Let $v \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$ be such that $\chi_R(v) = 1$. Then:*

- (a) $-1 \leq 2(v, \xi_i)_R \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+m$ with $v_i \neq 0$.
- (b) If v is a sincere vector (that is, $v(i) > 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n+m$), then $\delta(v) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$.

Proof. (a) Since $\chi_R(\xi_i) = 1$ and $v - \xi_i$ is a vector with nonnegative coordinates, $0 < \chi_R(v - \xi_i) = 2 - 2(v, \xi_i)_R$ and $2(v, \xi_i)_R \leq 1$. Similarly, $-1 \leq 2(v, \xi_i)_R$.

(b) By downwards induction on j ($0 \leq j \leq n+m$) we show that

$$\delta_{j+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}.$$

If $j = n+m$, there is nothing to show. Let $j < n+m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n+m$. If $i < j$, $\delta_j \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_i = v_i > 0$. If $i \geq j$, by (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_i &= \delta_i \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_i \\ &= \delta_{i+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_i - 2(\delta_{i+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v), \xi_i)_R \\ &= v_i - 2(\delta_{i+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v), \xi_i)_R. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\delta_{i+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$. By (a),

$$2(\delta_{i+1} \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v), \xi_i)_R \leq 1.$$

Thus, $\delta_j \cdots \delta_{n+m}(v)_i \geq 0$. \square

Theorem 4.15. *Let R be an algebra over an algebraically closed field k . Assume that R is of finite prinjective type and that $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a preprojective component. Let $V \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ be an indecomposable module with $v = \mathbf{cdn} V$ such that $\delta(v) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$. Then $\delta(v) = \mathbf{cdn} \Delta V$.*

Proof. Since $\delta(v)$ is a positive root of χ_R , by (4.13) there exists an indecomposable module $V' \in \text{prin}(R)_B^A$ with $\delta(v) = \mathbf{cdn} V'$.

We claim that ΔV is the unique indecomposable in $\text{prin}(R)_B^A$ satisfying:

- (i) $\langle v, \mathbf{cdn} \Delta V \rangle_R < 0$.
- (ii) If W is an indecomposable with $\langle v, \mathbf{cdn} W \rangle_R < 0$, then

$$\langle \mathbf{cdn} W, \mathbf{cdn} \Delta V \rangle_R > 0.$$

For, since $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ is a preprojective component, then $\text{Hom}_R(V, \Delta V) = 0$ and by (4.4),

$$\langle v, \text{cdn } \Delta V \rangle_R = -\dim_k \text{Ext}_R^1(V, \Delta V) < 0.$$

The property defining Auslander-Reiten sequences implies (ii). Moreover, if Z is an indecomposable satisfying (i) and (ii), we should have $\langle \text{cdn } Z, \text{cdn } \Delta V \rangle_R > 0$ and $\langle \text{cdn } \Delta V, \text{cdn } Z \rangle_R > 0$. Hence, $\text{Hom}_R(Z, \Delta V) \neq 0 \neq \text{Hom}_R(\Delta V, Z)$, and therefore $Z \simeq \Delta V$.

Now, note that by (4.9) the vector $\delta(v) = \text{cdn } V'$ satisfies (i) and (ii). It follows that $V' \simeq \Delta V$ and $\delta(v) = \text{cdn } \Delta V$. \square

Corollary 4.16. *Let R and V be as in (4.15). If $v = \text{cdn } V$ is sincere, then $\delta(v) = \text{cdn } \Delta V$.*

Proof. The proof follows from (4.14) and (4.15). \square

Remark 4.17. Let R be a basic, indecomposable triangular k -algebra of the form (4.10) and assume that k is algebraically closed.

(a) It is possible to give an algorithmic construction of the modules on a preprojective component of $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$. This generalization of (4.15) makes use of a nonlinear map δ' instead of δ . The construction follows that given in [12] for the case of algebras.

(b) We say that R is *sincere* if its Tits form χ_R has a sincere root. The *separation-criterion* for R can be defined as in the case of algebras. If R satisfies the separation-criterion, then $\Gamma(\text{prin}(R)_B^A)$ has a preprojective component. There is an algorithmic construction of all the k -algebras R which are sincere, of finite-prinjective type, and which satisfy the separation-criterion.

We will come back to these and other algorithmic problems in a forthcoming publication.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

In the proof of Theorem 3.4 the following situation remains to be considered: Suppose that $\Theta_B(X)$ is projective in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$. Since X is not projective in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)$, then X is not of the form $\widehat{e_i R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and therefore we conclude from Lemma 3.3 that there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\Theta_B(X) \simeq \Theta^A \nabla_-(\widehat{\eta_j R^\nabla})$, where R^∇ is defined in (3.6) and

$$\nabla_-: \text{mod}_{ic}(R^\nabla)_A \rightarrow \text{mod}^{pg}(R)^A$$

is an equivalence defined below (3.5). It follows from the definition of ∇_- that the inclusion $\widehat{\text{rad } \eta_j R^\nabla} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\eta_j R^\nabla}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} e_0: 0 & \rightarrow & \text{top } \eta_j R & \rightarrow & E_0 & \xrightarrow{w} & \Theta_B(X) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_X \\ \tilde{e}_0: 0 & \rightarrow & {}^0 I_j & \rightarrow & L_0 & \xrightarrow{w'} & X \simeq \widehat{\Theta_B(X)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where $E_0 = \Theta^A \nabla_-(\widehat{\text{rad } \eta_j R^\nabla})$ and L_0 is such that $\Theta_B(L_0) \simeq E_0$. Since ∇_- is an equivalence, w is a sink map in $\text{adj}(R)_B^A$ and one can easily prove as above that \tilde{e}_0 is an Auslander-Reiten sequence in $\text{mod}_{ic}(R)_B$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *Functors and morphisms determined by objects*, Proc. Conf. on Representation Theory (Philadelphia, 1976), Dekker, 1978, pp. 245–327.
2. M. Auslander and S. O. Smalø, *Almost split sequences in subcategories*, J. Algebra **69** (1981), 426–454.
3. R. Bautista and F. Larrión, *Auslander-Reiten quivers for certain algebras of finite representation type*, J. London Math. Soc. **26** (1982), 43–52.
4. R. Bautista and R. Martínez, *Representations of partially ordered sets and 1-Gorenstein artin algebras*, Proc. Conf. on Ring Theory (Antwerp, 1978), Dekker, 1979, pp. 385–433.
5. K. Bongartz, *Algebras and quadratic forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **28** (1980), 461–469.
6. V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, *Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 173 (1976).
7. J. Drozd, *Matrix problems and categories of matrices*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **28** (1972), 144–153. (Russian)
8. —, *Coxeter transformations and representations of partially ordered sets*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **8** (1974) 34–42; English transl., Functional Anal. Appl. **8** (1974), 218–225.
9. P. Gabriel, *Auslander-Reiten sequences and representation-finite algebras*, Representation Theory. I, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 831, Springer, 1980, pp. 1–71.
10. R. Grecht, *Kategorien von Moduln mit Untermoduln*, Diplomarbeit, Zürich, 1986.
11. D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, *Tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 399–443.
12. H. J. von Höhne, *Ganze quadratische Formen und Algebren*, Dissertation, Berlin, 1986.
13. —, *On weakly unit forms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1988), 312–336.
14. B. Klemp and D. Simson, *Schurian sp -representation-finite right peak PI -rings and their socle projective modules*, J. Algebra **134** (1990), 390–468.
15. J. A. de la Peña, *On the representation type of one point extension of tame concealed algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **61** (1988), 183–194.
16. C. M. Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1099, Springer, 1984.
17. D. Simson, *Vector space categories, right peak rings and their socle projective modules*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 532–571.
18. —, *Representations of partially ordered sets, vector space categories and socle projective modules*, Paderborn, July 1983, pp. 1–141.
19. —, *Moduled categories and adjusted modules over traced rings*, Dissertationes Math. **269** (1990).
20. —, *Artinian piecewise peak PI -rings of finite adjusted module type*, (Torun, 1987), Preprint.
21. D. Vossieck, *Representation de bifoncteurs et interpretation en termes de modules*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **307** (1988), 713–716.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, U.N.A.M., CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, MÉXICO 04510, D. F., MEXICO

N. COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UL. CHOPINA 12/18, 87-100 TORUŃ, POLAND